

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|---|-----------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/696,373 | 10/29/2003 | Gregory Winfield Gorman | 412589 | 6754 | |
| 30955 | 30955 7590 01/03/2006 | | | EXAMINER | |
| LATHROP & GAGE LC 4845 PEARL EAST CIRCLE SUITE 300 BOULDER, CO 80301 | | | CHEVALIER, ALICIA ANN | | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | 1772 | | |

DATE MAILED: 01/03/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | <i>V</i> - |
|--|---|---|--------------|
| Office Action Occasions | 10/696,373 | GORMAN, GREGO | DRY WINFIELD |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Alicia Chevalier | 1772 | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply | ears on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence add | dress |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE | N. nely filed the mailing date of this cor D (35 U.S.C. § 133). | |
| Status | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 06 O | <u>ctober 2005</u> . | | |
| 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This | action is non-final. | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowar | nce except for formal matters, pro | secution as to the | merits is |
| closed in accordance with the practice under E | x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45 | i3 O.G. 213. | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9 and 21-32</u> is/are pending in the ap | oplication. | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrav | vn from consideration. | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | |
| 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) <u>1-9 and 21-32</u> are subject to restriction | n and/or election requirement. | | |
| Application Papers | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine | r. | | • |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce | epted or b) objected to by the B | Examiner. | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the | drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See | 37 CFR 1.85(a). | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti | | | · • |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex | aminer. Note the attached Office | Action or form PT | O-152. |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: | priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a) | -(d) or (f). | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents | s have been received. | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents | s have been received in Application | on No | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior | ity documents have been receive | ed in this National S | Stage |
| application from the International Bureau | (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list | of the certified copies not receive | d. | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| Attachment(s) | ∆ □ • | (DTO 440) | |
| 1) | 4) 🔲 Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da | ate | |
| 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) | 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other: | atent Application (PTO | -152) |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date | 0) [_] Outer: | | _ |

DETAILED ACTION

1. Applicant's election without traverse of Group I, claims 1-9, in the reply filed on October 6, 2005 is acknowledged.

However, upon further consideration and the new claims the following species restriction is deemed necessary.

Election/Restrictions

2. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

| Group | Species |
|--------|------------------------|
| Symbol | Water reactive |
| | Contrast in appearance |

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claims are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Application/Control Number: 10/696,373 Page 3

Art Unit: 1772

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

3. A telephone call was made to Curtis Vock on December 18, 2005 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Conclusion

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alicia Chevalier whose telephone number is (571) 272-1490. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:00 am to 4:00 pm.

Application/Control Number: 10/696,373 Page 4

Art Unit: 1772

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Harold Pyon, can be reached on (571) 272-1498. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ac '12/27/05

MICIA CHEVALIER